

Delhi ITAT

Members
Amit Shukla
L. P. Sahu

Lawyers (Assessee)
Shailesh Gupta
Salil Aggarwal

Lawyers (Revenue)
Surender Pal

Ralhan Construction Company New Delhi vs ACIT New Delhi



09.04.2019 Income Tax 3928-DEL-2016

Text Highlight

Issues & Grounds of
appeal

Arguments

Holding &
Outcome

In the Income-Tax Appellate Tribunal,

Ralhan Construction Company, S-44,

This is an appeal filed by the assessee against the order of Id.CIT(A)-XX, New Delhi dated 29.04.2016 for the assessment year 2012-13 on the following grounds :

1. That the learned Commissioner of Income Tax (Appeals) has erred in law and on facts in sustaining an adhoc disallowance of a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- on account of purchase of material and that too, without pointing out any discrepancy in the books of accounts so maintained by the assessee - appellant and as such, the said disallowance needs to be deleted.

1.1. That the learned Commissioner of Income Tax (Appeals) has failed to appreciate the basic fact that all the purchases were duly backed by materials/ evidences, which have been arbitrarily brushed aside and that too without rebutting the documentary evidences so furnished by the assessee - appellant and thus, the addition so made needs to be deleted.

2. That the learned Commissioner of Income Tax (Appeals) has erred in law and on facts in sustaining a disallowance of Rs. 1,03,295/- and Rs. 13,521/- out of total disallowances of Rs. 2,06,589/- and 27,042/- on account of vehicle running, maintenance, depreciation and telephone expenses and the said disallowance being made on account of alleged personal element involved needs to be deleted, as such.

3. That the learned Commissioner of Income Tax (Appeals) has grossly erred in sustaining the part disallowance and that too, without providing to the assessee, a fair, proper and meaningful opportunity of being heard, thereby violating the principles of natural justice and thus, such an order of is vitiated both on fact and in law.”

2. The brief facts of the case are that the assessee filed its return of income on 30.09.2012 declaring income of Rs.72,89,670/-. The return was selected for scrutiny and statutory notices were issued to the assessee. The assessee is engaged in the business of contractor for Mahanagar

Law Referred

Income Tax Act, 1961
Section 37(1)

RS.14,19,88,700/- . In the assessment proceedings, the Assessing Officer asked to produce original books of accounts, bills, vouchers, muster-rolls, wage sheets etc. which were produced and checked on test check basis. On perusal of the profit and loss account, the assessee had debited a sum of Rs.7,93,70,407/- on account of purchase of material. The assessee was asked to produce bills/vouchers for the same. On verification, the Assessing Officer noticed that some bills/vouchers are missing and the assessee also explained that these might have been misplaced due to work at various sites or many a time, they are incurred out of imprest account. The Assessing Officer made disallowance of Rs.5 lacs on account of unverifiable purchase to plug the leakage of Revenue.

3. In the assessment proceedings, the Assessing Officer noticed that the assessee had claimed expenses of Rs.5,42,015/- and on account of depreciation of Rs.4,90,928/-. The Assessing Officer for want of logbook made disallowance of 1/5th of the said expenses to prevent the revenue leakage against personal use of vehicle and accordingly made an addition of Rs.2,06,589/-.

4. Further, the Assessing Officer noticed that the assessee had debited telephone expenses to the extent of Rs.1,35,211/- in the profit and loss account. The assessee did not maintain any personal telephone call register for partners/employees. Therefore, in order to prevent leakage of Revenue on account of personal use, he disallowed 1/5th of these expenditures, amounting to Rs.27042/- u/s. 37(1) of the Act. The assessee carried the matter in appeal before the Id. CIT(A), who after considering the submissions of the assessee upheld the addition of Rs.5 lacs on account of purchase of material and reduced the disallowance of vehicle expenses and telephone expenses by 50%. Aggrieved, the assessee is in appeal before the Tribunal.

5. The Id. AR of the assessee, reiterating the submissions made before the Id. CIT(A), submitted that all the bills and vouchers were produced before the

Assessing Officer and without pointing out any specific vouchers, the Assessing Officer has made lump sum disallowance to plug the leakage of Revenue of Rs.5,00,000/- whereas total purchases of the assessee have been accepted. He also placed reliance before us on the assessment order for the A.Y. 2014-15 in which no such addition has been made on these counts whereas the facts were similar. It was further submitted that the motor car and telephone have been used exclusively for the purpose of business. Therefore, the additions made by Assessing Officer and reduced by Id. CIT(A) is not sustainable in the eyes of law.

6. On the other hand, the Id. DR supported the impugned order. He submitted that complete bills/vouchers were not produced before the Assessing Officer and personal use of vehicle and telephone cannot be denied.

< 0 KQ>I I S_QI Sna>\lav >_GKapoaPukRSK Kwi K_ntkmaP
0m,,af†a.CE μz^a S SnaFmkI uKI oR>oRKi Ki I KGS-osa_aP
0m†CF CFμz Sns GpI KI S S 2RKI Ki I KGS-osa Sna wKI
Kwi K_I SpI KS _>qI Kv RkoRKI S SnpnkI KwGpnsuKx Pal oRK
FpnS Kmmal i >I oS\ \x Pal i KI na_>\ pntK 2Rpn oRKKI K^ K_oaP
na^ Ki KI na_>\ pntKR>m_a I a\Koa i \>x v RSKQI >_oS_Q
I KI I KGS-osa_a_ uKRSSK 2RKI KPal K^ oRK>mmKmmKI KntKI uKm
I KI p Gosa_aPI KI I KGS-osa_aP0m†CF CFμz >_I I Km oPoRK
>I I Sosa_I KntKI uKmoa FKmpmo>S_KI Pal v >_oaPi I ai KI
uKI S G-osa_ _I KmI KGaPdKI Ra_KKwi K_I SpI K^v K>I KaPoRK
ai S Sa_a oR>oRKi \I 2 » ¼R>ml SQRdx I K>\ov SR oRSnSmpK>_I
v KI a _ao• _I >_x Zpm S G-osa_ca S dKI FK Kv SR oRKm^ K oSn
>\na^ K_osa_KI oR>oi I S_GS \KaPI KmZPI SG> Snao>i i \SG\FK
S_oRK S_Ga^ Kz>wi I aGKI S_Qm>_I oRK S_Ga^ KaPoRK>mmKmmK
Sna>mmKmmKI a_oRK F>nSnaPP>Gom>_I GS Gp^ mo>_GKm>odK_I S_Qoa
>i >I oSp>I xKI GGaI I S_Qx^a oRK>i i K>\ aPoRK>mmKmmK
I KntKI uKmoa FK i >I dx >\lav KI

CE _ oRKI Knp\o^ oRK>i i K>\ Sni >I dx >\lav KI

%I KI i I a_ap_GKI S_oRK ai K_Gaploa_f CF f†.f,,CE

¥>[m¥

1K^ m>_I Ga_I Ssa_m | , I S>G i a]Sx

`hwkSRnö ,, f' %\$Kkol 2KGR^ [Qw1` [orS^I - t n¼ n¼ [[0 SRn

OKI Kkt KI ¼